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Adsorption of oxygen on $\gamma$-alumina has been studied over a temperature range from 77 to $129^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$. and the results have been analyzed in terms of an equilibrium constant $K_{x}$ for $2 \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{O}_{4}$, proposed previously on the basis of magnetic susceptibility data. The adsorption isotherms and isosteres have been resolved into component curves showing contributions from both $O_{2}$ and $O_{4}$ species. From the component isotherms and isosteres for $O_{2}$ and $O_{4}$, the heats of adsorption for these species have been evaluated; the difference, $\Delta Q_{s}(\sim-552$ cal./mole), between these heats of adsorption is seen to correspond to the heat of dimerization $\Delta H(\sim-500 \mathrm{cal} . /$ mole $)$ obtained separately from $K_{x}$ values based on the magnetic data for the singlet $O_{4}$ state. Similarly, the assumption of a triplet state leads to a difference ( $\sim-713$ cal./mole) between the heats of adsorption, which corresponds to a $\Delta H$ of $\sim-700 \mathrm{cal} . / \mathrm{mole}$ found from the magnetic data. This correspondence between $Q_{s}$ and $\Delta H$ values indicates that the proposed dimerization equilibrium is established in the adsorbed state of oxygen.

## Introduction

A number of studies on physical adsorption and chemisorption of oxygen on various supports have been reported in the literature during the past decade. These cover areas ranging from changes in conductivity ${ }^{3-5}$ photochemistry, ${ }^{6-8}$ spectroscopy, ${ }^{9,10}$ to kinetic studies. ${ }^{11}$ Very few of these studies and those reported prior to 1950 have been oriented toward an investigation of the nature of the molecular species formed during physical adsorption. While some magnetic investigations of oxygen adsorbed on silica gel, titania, and carbon have been reported, very few of these attempted to correlate the changes in magnetic susceptibility with the state of the adsorbed species. ${ }^{12-14 a}$ This paper reports

[^0]studies of adsorption of oxygen on $\gamma$-alumina, which were undertaken to elucidate the nature of the adsorbed species. The magnetic susceptibiltiy studies have been reported separately, ${ }^{15}$ which indicate the formation of dimeric species, $\mathrm{O}_{4}$. This work involved a careful determination of changes in magnetic susceptibility during the course of adsorption and also involved a detailed study of physical adsorption itself.

## Experimental

The adsorption spring microbalance utilized in making these investigations has been described elsewhere. ${ }^{16}$ The adsorbent $\gamma-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ was obtained through the courtesy of Dr. R. B. Emerson, Kaiser Aluminum and Chemical Corp., Baton Rouge, La., and had a surface area of $361 \mathrm{~m} .{ }^{2} / \mathrm{g}$. as determined by the B.E.T. method. A sample ( $\sim 0.05 \mathrm{~g}$.) was degassed to $10^{-7}$ mm . for 10 hr . at about $120^{\circ}$ prior to each experiment. This has been shown to be sufficient for removing any physically adsorbed water vapor. ${ }^{17}$ The final isotherms obtained represent the average of three separate runs, with a maximum variation of $5 \%$, using different amounts of alumina at each temperature. Equilibrium was seen to be attained at each pressure when there was no further increase in the amount of oxygen adsorbed. The oxygen used was obtained from General Dynamics and was $99.5 \%$ pure. It was passed over anhydrous magnesium perchlorate to remove any traces of water. The isotherms were obtained at $77,90,100,113$, and $129^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$. using a specially designed cryostat. ${ }^{18}$

## Results and Discussion

In this paper we shall use the term "oxygen" to represent the bulk adsorbate and the symbols " $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ " and " $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ " to represent the specific components of this bulk adsorbate. Here we propose to depict, on the following premises, the adsorption of oxygen on the surface of $\gamma$-alumina in terms of the initial formation of an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ layer which after reaching a certain critical concentration begins to dimerize to $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ and thereafter continues to remain in equilibrium with it in all phases. The reader must note that the entire discussion on the dimer formation in the adsorbed layers must be contrasted from the usual studies on multilayer adsorption in inert gases, such as nitrogen, argon, etc., where there is no chemical interaction between the layers.
(1) The equilibrium

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { oxygen(adsorbed) } \stackrel{K_{p}}{\rightleftharpoons} \text { oxygen(gas) } \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$
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can be described by a pressure-type equilibrium constant $K_{\mathrm{p}}$ which is easily related to the pressure, $p$, observed under conditions of equilibrium. ${ }^{19}$
(2) However, the equilibrium

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\text { adsorbed }) \stackrel{K \mathrm{x}}{\rightleftharpoons} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\text { adsorbed }) \tag{II}
\end{equation*}
$$

is better described by a concentration-type equilibrium constant, $K_{\mathrm{x}}$, in view of the condensed or the quasiliquid phase of the two species as considered in an earlier publication. ${ }^{15}$
(3) On the basis of the above premises one could then imagine separate equilibria between the gaseous oxygen and adsorbed $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, on the one hand, and gaseous oxygen and adsorbed $\mathrm{O}_{4}$, on the other.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { oxygen(gas) } & \stackrel{K_{\mathrm{p}^{2}}}{\rightleftharpoons} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\text { adsorbed }) \\
\text { oxygen(gas) } & \stackrel{K_{\mathrm{P}^{4}}}{\rightleftharpoons} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\text { adsorbed }) \tag{IV}
\end{array}
$$

(4) It should be further possible to think of separate equilibria similar to those described above based on assumptions that all dimer exists either in the singlet or in the triplet state for which two different sets of $K_{\mathrm{x}}$ values (and also heats of dimerization $\Delta H$ ) were established previously. ${ }^{15}$
We shall first evaluate the isosteric heats of adsorption for oxygen based on premise 1 and later outline the consequences of assuming an equilibrium between oxygen gas and the adsorbed dimer $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ according to equilibrium IV in premise 3. For the sake of simplicity the relationships pertaining to the dimer in the singlet state will be presented in some detail and the analogous inferences for the triplet state will be given.
Figure 1 shows the adsorption isotherms based on the experimental data for the amount of oxygen adsorbed at different pressures and temperatures. The surface area of the oxygen molecule ${ }^{20}$ is $12.9 \AA .{ }^{2}$. Since the surface area of the $\gamma$-alumina is about 361 $\mathrm{m} .2 / \mathrm{g} ., \mathrm{l}$ g. of the sample is expected to adsorb $2.8 \times$ $10^{21}$ molecules of oxygen corresponding to a monolayer capacity of 150 mg . Saturation (maximum) adsorption of alumina at $77^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$. occurs with about 340 mg . of oxygen, which therefore represents a statistical coverage of $2.3 \theta$. This coverage decreases with increasing temperature to about $0.7 \theta$ at $129^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$.

Table I. Amount of Oxygen Adsorbed As a Function of Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$.) at Constant Pressures

|  | $M$, mg./g. of $\gamma$-alumina |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $77^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ | $100^{\circ}$ | $113^{\circ}$ | $129^{\circ}$ |
| 20 | 100 | 48 | 26 | 11 | 15 |
| 100 | 227 | 86 | 60 | 39 | 36 |
| 150 | 312 | 107 | 73 | 50 | 45 |
| 300 |  | 155 | 104 | 78 | 62 |
| 600 |  | 243 | 148 | 120 | 86 |
| 740 |  | 330 | 187 | 151 | 109 |

The data in Table I were obtained from the isotherms shown in Figure 1 and were used to obtain the isobars in Figure 2. For the convenience of showing all the isotherms clearly, the pressure $P$ is used instead of the

[^1]

Figure 1. Adsorption isotherms for oxygen on $\gamma$-alumina at different temperatures.


Figure 2. Adsorption isobars for oxygen on $\gamma$-alumina.
fraction $P / P_{0}$, where $P_{0}$ is the saturation pressure corresponding to a particular temperature. This procedure spreads the curves out, giving a sharper separation, and leads directly to the $\ln P$ coordinate used in later figures. This approach does not change our interpretations in any way and was chosen to make them more apparent. The isosteric heat of adsorption based on premise 1 is obtained through the use of the derived Clausius-Clapeyron relation

$$
\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} \ln P}{\mathrm{~d} T}\right)_{n \mathrm{Ox}}=\frac{Q_{\mathrm{s}}}{R_{0} T^{2}}
$$

giving on integration

$$
(\ln P)_{n 0_{x}}=-\frac{Q_{\mathrm{s}}}{R_{0} T}+C
$$



Figure 3. Plot of $\ln P v s$. reciprocal temperature for oxygen on $\gamma$ alumina.
where $Q_{\mathrm{s}}$ is the isosteric heat of adsorption, $P$ is the pressure in mm., $R_{0}$ is the gas constant, $T$ is the temperature in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K} ., C$ is an integration constant, and $n_{o x}$ refers to conditions of constant amount of oxygen adsorbed.

Figure 3 shows a plot of $\ln P v s .1 / T$ for oxygen, from which the physical heat of adsorption can be derived; however, since our major interest is to derive heats of adsorption for the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ components, no special effort will be made here to derive the heat for total oxygen. The straight lines in Figure 3 (and also 5 and 7) involving more than two points represent the results obtained from a least-squares fit giving the best straight line.

The over-all adsorption isotherms for oxygen (shown in Figure 1) have been resolved into their component parts (Figures 4 and 6 ) corresponding to the respective contributions from the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ species on the basis of the magnetic susceptibility data ${ }^{15}$ which helped to establish their concentrations as reported previously on the basis of the singlet (and also the triplet) states of $\mathrm{O}_{4}$. Extending premise 3 to the Clausius-Clapeyron relation we have

$$
n_{\mathrm{Ox}}=n_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}+n_{\mathrm{O}_{4}}
$$

Thus isosteres for the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ (singlet) components are obtainable from the relationships

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\ln P)_{n 0_{2}}=\frac{Q_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}}{R_{0} T}+C_{1} \\
& (\ln P)_{n 0_{4}}=\frac{Q_{\mathrm{O}_{4}}}{R_{0} T}+C_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 5 gives the plot of $\ln P$ vs. $1 / T$ for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ (singlet), the data for which were obtained from Figure 4. It is interesting to note from Figure 5 that at low coverages the component curves for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ give straight lines with almost identical slopes, and this yields a value of $-2300 \mathrm{cal} . / \mathrm{mole}$ for the heat of


Figure 4. Isotherms for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ (singlet) components adsorbed on $\gamma$-alumina (resolved from Figure 1 for total oxygen on the basis of magnetic data ${ }^{16}$ ).


Figure 5. Plot of $\ln P$ vs. reciprocal temperature for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ (singlet) components adsorbed on $\gamma$-alumina (obtained from Figure 4).
adsorption for each species. However, at relatively high coverages, the component curves separate out with different slopes. The heats of adsorption give an average value of $-1651 \mathrm{cal} . / \mathrm{mole}$ for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and -2103 cal . mole for $\mathrm{O}_{4}$. Considering that both species exist in equilibrium at all times and that $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ molecules are very likely to be adsorbed prior to the formation of $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ species on the surface, any excess heat of adsorption for $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ obtained under conditions of maximum adsorption may be attributed to the heat of formation of $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ from $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. This average excess $\Delta Q_{\mathrm{s}}$ for $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ [-2103 -$(-1651)]$ is equal to -452 cal. mole, which is seen to correspond quite well with the average heat of dimerization $\Delta H$ of about $-500 \mathrm{cal} . /$ mole obtained independently ${ }^{15}$ from an entirely different approach in which the $\log K_{\mathrm{x}}$ from magnetic data were plotted against $1 / T$. It should be noted that the magnetic susceptibilities observed for oxygen at low coverages (i.e., less than a monolayer) correspond generally to its


Figure 6. Isotherms for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ (triplet) components adsorbed on $\gamma$-alumina (resolved from Figure 1 and on the basis of magnetic data ${ }^{15}$ ).
paramagnetism, whereas the susceptibilities at higher coverages (from less than a monolayer to greater than two layers) corresponds to polymeric oxygen in the singlet and/or triplet states. Some of the aspects of magnetic interaction in the $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ species have been discussed previously, ${ }^{15}$ and a complete account of this based on e.p.r. studies, etc., will be published separately.

The agreement discussed above between the heats of formation for the dimer obtained from the isosteric heat data for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ (in which interactions of the species with the surface are involved as a function of temperature) and from the dependence of the equilibrium constant $K_{\mathrm{x}}$ for the $2 \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{O}_{4}$ equilibrium on temperature leads to a significant conclusion, namely that the $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ molecules are formed from the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ species which already have been adsorbed on the surface. The assumption of an $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ triplet state gives us the component isotherm curves shown in Figure 6. This assumption gives $\ln P$ vs. $1 / T$ curves for the components in Figure 7, which yield an average $\Delta Q_{\mathrm{s}}$ value of about $-713 \mathrm{cal} . / \mathrm{mole}$, in good agreement with the heat of formation $\Delta H$ ( $\sim-700 \mathrm{cal} . / \mathrm{mole}$ ), obtained from the


Figure 7. Plot of $\ln P$ vs. reciprocal temperature for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ (triplet) components adsorbed on $\gamma$-alumina (obtained from Figure 6).
corresponding equilibrium constants obtained previously ${ }^{15}$ for the triplet state.
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